UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,340	11/14/2003	John Rusek	SWI-005-USA-P	1176
27955 TOWNSEND	7590 02/21/2007 & RANTA		EXAMINER	
c/o PORTFOLIO IP			FELTON, AILEEN BAKER	
PO BOX 5205 MINNEAPOL	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1755	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS 02/21/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

L	/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/712,340	RUSEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aileen B. Felton	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 No	ovember 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims include the terms "lithium hexahydridoborane or lithium hexahydridoalane". These chemicals are not described in the specification sufficiently to show that Applicant had possession at the time of invention. No chemical formulas or mechanisms have been disclosed. The journal articles cited indicate a different chemical name that goes with the chemical formula Li₂AlH₆. There is no indication that this is the same chemical formula that is used by Applicant since Applicant only gives the name.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims include the terms "lithium hexahydridoborane or lithium hexahydridoalane".

Art Unit: 1755

One of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to determine what compositions would meet this limitation without undue experimentation. No chemical formulas or mechanisms have been disclosed. There is also no evidence that these chemical exist in any scientific or journal references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8 (as best can be understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schumacher et al (US 5714711).

Schumacher discloses the use of lithium, aluminum, boron hydrides encapsulated in a solid propellant with ammonium perchlorate, and polystyrene (col. 3 and 4).

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the various lithium, aluminum, boron hydrides in combination since Schumacher suggests that alloys of these are useful as the highenergy fuel.

6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woods et al (3,441,455) in view of the article to Erhlich (first citation of PTO-892).

Woods et al discloses lithium aluminum hydride and aluminum fuel that is encapsulated by many different polymers. The composition further includes ammonium perchlorate and binders. See col. 2 and 3. The composition can comprise 25-40 % of lithium aluminum hydride and 25-65 % of oxidizer (col. 6, lines 40-65). Woods also indicates that the ratios of the various components can be varied to provide materials with a wide range of properties.

The article to Erhlich teaches Li₃AlH₆ is a known complex of lithium aluminum hydride and that it shows interest in the area of rocket propulsion.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Li₃AlH₆ as taught by Erhlich in place of the lithium aluminum hydride utilized by Woods since Erhlich teaches that it is a known improved hydride complex that has use in the are of rocket propulsion. It would also be obvious to vary the parameters of the propellant to achieve a desired result. It is well-settled that optimizing a result effective variable is well within the expected ability of a person of ordinary skill in the subject art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant arguments regarding the 112-1st are not persuasive. The journal articles cited indicate a different chemical name that goes with the chemical formula Li₃AlH₆. There is no indication that this is the same chemical formula that is used by Applicant since Applicant only gives the name. Further, since the evidence submitted does not even provide enablement for the aluminum form, there is no possible way for one to infer how to make the boron form.

Regarding the Schumacher reference, Schumacher does disclose the use of lithium aluminum hydrides which appear to meet the compounds that are claimed.

These compounds cannot be ascertained due to the lack or written description and enablement.

Conclusion

Applicant's submission necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/712,340

Art Unit: 1755

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aileen B. Felton whose telephone number is 571.272.6875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00, except alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571.272.1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AILEEN FELTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6